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## Introduction

This document presents the proposed school district budget for the 2017-18 school year. Included is detailed information on budget expenditures, revenues, and fiscal comparisons to other school districts. Also provided is an overview of District academic performance supplied by the New York State Department of Education.

A school district budget is a financial plan created to support the operation of the school system and the delivery of the educational program. The Board of Education and school district administrators began the budget process in October 2016. Over the next several months, the preliminary budget was developed and refined in consultation with school principals, department supervisors, and staff. Then, public presentations were conducted at multiple Board meetings starting in February. After review of the budget was complete, the Board adopted the budget on April $12^{\text {th }}$. The annual budget vote will be held Tuesday, May 16, 2017.

Additional information and further budget details are located on the district website under the "Quick Link" entitled Budget Planning: 2017-18.

## Expenditures

The Board of Education approved a budget of $\$ 90,340,742$ for the 2017-2018 school year, which represents a $2.1 \%$ increase in expenditures from the current year's budget. It includes a $2.9 \%$ increase to the tax levy, and it is projected that there will be a $.6 \%$ increase to the average tax rate across the district (final tax rates are set in August).

The most significant individual budget item contributing to the budget increase is an increase of $\$ 1,189,000$ for health insurance. Other areas seeing large dollar increases are Regular Education Instruction $(\$ 516,000)$ and Special Education $(\$ 196,000)$. After several years of large increases due to borrowing due to the capital construction projects from 2010 and 2012, debt service is staying approximately level. One expenditure area seeing a significant decrease is payments to retirement pension systems where the estimated expense will decrease $\$ 576,000$. This is due to a decrease in the rates charged by the retirement systems.

## Revenues

The two main sources of revenue for the District are state aid and property taxes. These two sources account for approximately $87 \%$ of all revenue. The remaining $13 \%$ is made up of interest earnings, PILOT payments, Federal aid, facility usage fees, reserves, fund balance and other miscellaneous items.

## State Aid

Under the State budget, the District will receive a one percent increase in state aid compared to last year. This increase is mostly found in Foundation Aid, which is increasing due to the State adjusting the basic or "foundation" amount of aid it provides to school districts.

Foundation Aid is by far the largest component of state aid. Foundation Aid is projected to increase $\$ 496,263$, or $2.74 \%$ for the upcoming year. Offsetting this increase are decreases in building aid and transportation aid of approximately $\$ 109,000$ and $\$ 187,000$ respectively.

In addition to Foundation Aid, the District receives a variety of aid from the state, including expense based aids such as Transportation Aid, BOCES Aid, Building Aid, and High Cost Aid. Expense based aids reimburse the District for a percentage of the expenses associated with certain operations. For example, High Cost aid reimburses the District for the expenses associated with the cost of education for special education students that attend certain "high cost" programs.

Finally, the District also receives aid in the form of categorical aids, which are dedicated to expenses such as Textbook Aid, Library Materials Aid, Software Aid and Computer Hardware Aid. Categorical aids are meant to subsidize the purchase of certain items deemed to be essential to ensure all school districts are expending a certain minimum amount.

## Other Revenues

## PILOT Payments:

Another important area of revenue for the District is Payment In Lieu Of Taxes (PILOTs). The payment coming to the District from the Global Foundries facility in Malta will decrease by approximately $\$ 705,000$. The exact amount of the payment will not be known until the tax rates are set in August, but should be approximately \$8,229,000.

The valuation of the plant annual assessments are decreasing and will continue to decrease over the next decade. As the PILOT payments from the plant decrease, this will have a significant negative impact on the District's revenues.

## Reserves:

The District increased the amount of reserves dedicated to revenue for the upcoming year. For fiscal 2018, no general fund reserves will be dedicated to the upcoming year, but $\$ 600,000$ from the Debt Service fund will be dedicated.

For assigned fund balance, the Board has directed that \$1,000,000 of unassigned fund balance be used to offset the levy increase. That amount is the same as fiscal 2017. It is important to point out that unlike some other school districts that have been reported in the news media as having exceeded certain statutory limits on reserves; Ballston Spa has not exceeded those limits.

## Miscellaneous:

Miscellaneous revenue items include fees for facility use, federal aid, tuition receipts and interest earnings. Tuitions paid by other districts is one of the larger component of these revenues, as is Federal aid in the form of Medicaid reimbursement payments. These two items are projected to produce $\$ 1,157,000$ in revenue.

## Property Taxes

The tax levy as proposed is set to increase by 2.9 percent. This is significantly less than the increase allowed by the property tax cap formula. Due to growth in new properties within the District the average tax rate is expected to increase by approximately $.6 \%$.

This should be regarded as an estimate as the exact tax rate change is not known at this time. Final property taxes are calculated when final assessments are provided to the District and the District adopts the final tax rates in August.

## Financial Planning

In looking to future years, the Board of Education sees state aid restoration and PILOT payment variances as key issues affecting the District's financial condition. Now that NYS has restored the state aid withheld from all districts in the state since the recession in 2008, future aid increase will be based on Foundation Aid and its associated formulas.

## Other Expenditures: Propositions

In addition to the District's general fund budget which is Proposition 1 in the amount of $\$ 90,340,742$, separate propositions will be presented for voter consideration as follows:

Proposition 2:
Proposition 2 is for the purchase of school buses and vehicles, enabling the District to spend up to $\$ 907,000$ to fund the 10 -year bus replacement plan. The cost of buses is offset by a reimbursement rate of approximately $64 \%$ from the State in the form of transportation aid.

This proposition will not have a tax impact in 2017-18 because the first debt service payment will be due in 2018-2019. This proposition includes the purchase of 10 school buses and one vehicle for the maintenance department.

## Proposition 3:

Proposition 3 represents a contribution on the part of District taxpayers of \$55,650 toward the expense of maintaining and operating the Ballston Spa Public Library.

Under state law, the District acts as a conduit to collect the sum and remit the funds to the library.

## Proposition 4:

Proposition 4 represents a contribution of $\$ 30,000$ toward the expense of maintaining and operating the Ballston Spa Area Recreation Commission.

Similar to the Library Proposition, the District simply acts as a conduit to collect the sum and remit the funds to the commission.

The exact language of the propositions is shown on the following page.

## School District Budget

Proposition 1: Shall it be resolved, that the Board of Education of the Ballston Spa Central School District be authorized to expend the sum set forth in its estimate of expenditures for the 2016-2017 school year in the amount of $\$ 90,340,742$ and to levy the necessary taxes therefore?

## School District Buses and Vehicles

Proposition 2: Shall it be resolved, that the Board of Education of the Ballston Spa Central School District be authorized to (1) purchase various school buses and vehicles, at a maximum cost of $\$ 907,000$, (2) expend such sum for such purpose, (3) levy the necessary tax therefore, to be levied and collected in annual installments in such years and in such amounts as may be determined by the Board of Education in accordance with Section 416 of the Education Law, and (4) in anticipation of the collection of such tax, issue bonds and notes of the District at one time or from time to time in the principal amount not to exceed $\$ 788,000$ and levy a tax to pay the interest and principal on said obligations when due?

## Ballston Spa Public Library

Proposition 3: Shall it be resolved, that the Board of Education of the Ballston Spa Central School District is hereby authorized to expend the sum of $\$ 55,650$ as and for its contribution toward the expense of maintaining and operating the Ballston Spa Public Library, and to levy the necessary taxes therefore?

## Ballston Spa Area Recreation Commission

Proposition 4: Shall it be resolved, that the Board of Education of the Ballston Spa Central School District is hereby authorized to expend the sum of $\$ 30,000$ as and for its contribution toward the expense of maintaining and operating the Ballston Area Recreation Commission and to continue funding the Saturday school and summer program, formerly funded through the District budget and to levy the necessary taxes therefore?

## Expenditure and Revenue Summary Chart

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | Change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Budget | Budget | Dollars | Percent |  |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries, Wages \& Benefits | $\$ 66,960,252$ | $\$ 68,864,537$ | $\$ 1,904,285$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Supplies, Materials, Equipment | $\$ 3,057,525$ | $\$ 3,047,840$ | $-\$ 9,685$ | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Contracted Services | $\$ 4,327,790$ | $\$ 4,408,320$ | $\$ 80,530$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| BOCES | $\$ 4,373,085$ | $\$ 4,423,370$ | $\$ 50,285$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 1,663,700$ | $\$ 1,568,900$ | $-\$ 94,800$ | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Debt Service \& Transfers | $\$ 8,077,378$ | $\$ 8,027,775$ | $-\$ 49,603$ | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 88,459,730$ | $\$ 90,340,742$ | $\$ 1,881,012$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| State Aid | $\$ 29,262,463$ | $\$ 29,549,463$ | $\$ 287,000$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Other Sources | $\$ 10,605,610$ | $\$ 10,800,383$ | $\$ 194,773$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Fund Balance | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Reserves | $\$ 0$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Real Property Tax | $\$ 47,591,657$ | $\$ 48,990,896$ | $\$ 1,399,239$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\$ 88,459,730$ | $\$ 90,340,742$ | $\$ 1,881,012$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed | Dollar | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Expense | Budget | Budget | Change | Change |

## Instruction Budget

## 1. Curriculum Development

Curriculum Development costs are those associated with developing and improving the instructional program for students of all grades and for both regular education and special education. This includes work to align the curriculum to meet state and federal standards.

| Salaries | 468,096 | 482,837 | 499,303 | 16,466 | $3.41 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 15,497 | 36,100 | 36,100 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 687 | 4,700 | 4,700 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{4 8 4 , 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 0 , 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 4 \%}$ |

## 2. Supervision- Regular School

Supervision includes the costs for all school building administrators such as principals, assistant principals and their office teams. This includes student grading, attendance and discipline.

| Salaries | $2,236,612$ | $2,359,892$ | $2,403,790$ | 43,898 | $1.86 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 43,758 | 47,505 | 42,005 | $-5,500$ | $-11.58 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 38,124 | 37,800 | 35,300 | $-2,500$ | $-6.61 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 1,708 | 1,760 | 1,760 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 0 , 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 4 6 , 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 8 2 , 8 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7 \%}$ |

## 3. Inservice Training- Instruction

In-service training provides instructional staff with professional development activities to increase their knowledge of current educational trends, new instructional methods, and research on student learning.

| Salaries | 67,695 | 158,955 | 155,194 | $-3,761$ | $-2.37 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 36,968 | 96,640 | 85,640 | $-11,000$ | $-11.38 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 1,157 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 60,951 | 65,000 | 66,000 | 1,000 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 4 \%}$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 6 6 , 7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0 , 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 , 7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 2 4 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed <br> Expense | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Budget |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Change | Change |  |  |  |

## 4. Teaching- Regular School

This category provides for all teachers, aides and classroom support staff. This category also includes funding for classroom equipment, supplies and textbooks, and other expenses necessary to maintain the regular education school program.

| Salaries | $23,334,731$ | $24,366,107$ | $24,838,411$ | 472,304 | $1.94 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 61,075 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 412,981 | 380,900 | 416,400 | 35,500 | $9.32 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 442,040 | 397,463 | 397,713 | 250 | $0.06 \%$ |
| Tuition Payments | 82,088 | 165,000 | 160,000 | $-5,000$ | $-3.03 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 265,738 | 266,040 | 278,770 | 12,730 | $4.78 \%$ |
| Textbooks | 336,797 | 447,067 | 447,067 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 3 5 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 1 2 4 , 5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 4 0 , 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 5 , 7 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 7 \%}$ |

## 5. Programs For Students with Disabilities

This category serves a significant portion of the District's student population with varying individual needs and mandated service requirements. These highly specialized programs and related services are generally mandated by the State or Federal government.

| Salaries | $5,975,696$ | $6,300,755$ | $6,487,467$ | 186,712 | $2.96 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 4,514 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | $1,238,000$ | $1,331,200$ | $1,331,200$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 82,509 | 72,375 | 81,575 | 9,200 | $12.71 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | $1,108,781$ | $1,300,000$ | $1,300,000$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Textbooks | 26,983 | 39,080 | 39,080 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{8 , 4 3 6 , 4 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 5 8 , 4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 5 4 , 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 , 9 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 6 \%}$ |

## 6. Occupational Education

The costs of providing hands on educational opportunities for students to learn vocational or trade skills such are carpentry, computer repair, and auto repair.

| Salaries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 584,098 | 594,000 | 606,100 | 12,100 | $2.04 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{5 8 4 , 0 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 6 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 4 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed <br> Expense | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Budget |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Change | Change |  |  |  |

## 7. Teaching- Special Schools

This category provides for summer school and adult education programs. Summer school is aimed primarily at students at risk of delayed graduation or in need of remedial support.

| Salaries | 118,200 | 134,596 | 139,200 | 4,604 | $3.42 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 3,269 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 744 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Textbooks | 0 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2 , 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 \%}$ |

## 8. School Library \& Audiovisual

Included here are the expenses associated with the operation of the school district libraries, including library staff, acquisition of library books, cataloging and circulation of books, and supplies and equipment.

| Salaries | 546,345 | 585,692 | 594,124 | 8,432 | $1.44 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 8,344 | 11,100 | 11,100 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 86,794 | 53,245 | 53,245 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Library Books | 53,417 | 51,500 | 51,500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 9 4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 1 , 5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 9 , 9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 \%}$ |

## 9. Computer Assisted Instruction

This budget category includes the expenses of operating the district's computer labs, classroom computer equipment, and related supplies.

| Salaries | 212,398 | 233,991 | 237,727 | 3,736 | $1.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 97,337 | 102,500 | 102,500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 16,632 | 30,400 | 30,400 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 96,440 | 145,250 | 162,750 | 17,500 | $12.05 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | $1,080,599$ | 885,655 | 885,655 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 3 , 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 7 , 7 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 9 , 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 2 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed <br> Expense | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Budget |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Change | Change |  |  |  |

## 10. Guidance Services

This category includes funding for expenses necessary to provide career counseling, college application assistance, and student transitional support between grade levels.

| Salaries | $1,015,910$ | $1,048,374$ | $1,104,827$ | 56,453 | $5.38 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 2,785 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 2,295 | 2,475 | 2,475 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 176 | 400 | 400 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 1 , 1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 3 , 8 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 0 , 3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 , 4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3 6 \%}$ |

## 11. Health Services

This category includes funding for the school nurse offices. Nurses provide on-site emergency medical support, prescription drug management, and student health testing.

| Salaries | 573,015 | 582,915 | 599,123 | 16,208 | $2.78 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 28,140 | 36,800 | 36,800 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 9,228 | 16,115 | 16,115 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 1 0 , 3 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 5 , 8 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 2 , 0 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 5 \%}$ |

## 12. Psychological Services

This category includes funding for expenses necessary to provide mandated psychological support to students, student psychological testing and referrals for special services.

| Salaries | 359,472 | 364,939 | 378,739 | 13,800 | $3.78 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 825 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 6 0 , 2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 7 , 4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1 , 2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 6 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Account | Expense | Dollar | Percent |  |  |
| Budget | Budget | Change | Change |  |  |

## 13. Social Work Services

This category includes funding for expenses necessary to provide mandated counseling as required by the student Individual Educational Plans.

| Salaries | 532,459 | 560,685 | 631,251 | 70,566 | $12.59 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 591 | 850 | 850 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{5 3 3 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 1 , 5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 2 , 1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 7 \%}$ |

## 14. Co-Curricular Activities

Co-curricular activities are student activities offered as enrichment experiences including band, student plays, school newspapers and student groups such as the Honor Society and student government.

| Salaries | 190,695 | 202,100 | 208,180 | 6,080 | $3.01 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 39,673 | 23,800 | 23,800 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 969 | 500 | 500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9 \%}$ |

15. Interscholastic Athletics

Interscholastic athletics provide students the opportunity to participate in a variety of competitive interscholastic sports programs.

| Salaries | 395,815 | 418,700 | 420,880 | 2,180 | $0.52 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 4,315 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 131,682 | 132,385 | 145,870 | 13,485 | $10.19 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 73,687 | 70,850 | 71,850 | 1,000 | $1.41 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 0 5 , 4 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 6 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 3 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 6 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed | Dollar | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Expense | Budget | Budget | Change | Change |

## Pupil Transportation

## 16. Transportation Services

This category provides funding for transportation of students within the district to Ballston Spa Central School District Schools and for district students who attend certain private or parochial schools. Also included here are the expenses for transportation for athletic teams, field trips, and building transfer shuttles.

| Salaries | $2,386,373$ | $2,446,884$ | $2,598,876$ | 151,992 | $6.21 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 12,497 | 16,000 | 35,000 | 19,000 | $118.75 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 144,652 | 177,350 | 184,875 | 7,525 | $4.24 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 288,588 | 662,990 | 539,735 | $-123,255$ | $-18.59 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 2 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 3 , 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 8 , 4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 , 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 7 \%}$ |

## 17. Bus Garage Building

This category provides funding for the operation of the bus garage, namely utilities and building repairs.

| Salaries | 15,681 | 22,122 | 23,089 | 967 | $4.37 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 155,457 | 111,150 | 118,750 | 7,600 | $6.84 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 21,979 | 8,050 | 11,500 | 3,450 | $42.86 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 , 3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 0 \%}$ |

## 18. Contract Transportation

Included in this category are expenses associated with the operation of contracted transportation for students with disabilities.

| Contractual \& Other | 250,530 | 230,000 | 250,000 | 20,000 | $8.70 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 5 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7 0 \%}$ |

## 19. Transportation from BOCES

Costs associated with BOCES provided transfer shuttles, if any, are shown here.

| BOCES Services | 1,439 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |


| Pupil Transportation Total | $3,277,196$ | $3,680,846$ | $3,768,125$ | 87,279 | $2.37 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed | Dollar | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Expense | Budget | Budget | Change | Change |

## General Support

## 20. Board Of Education

Expenses included here are those required to conduct basic Board of Education business such as attendance at NYS School Boards Association meetings and new Board member training.

| Contractual \& Other | 606 | 5400 | 5400 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Supplies \& Materials | 0 | 500 | 500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 21. District Clerk

This category includes expenses for taking minutes at Board meetings.

| Salaries | 2,200 | 3,360 | 3,360 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Supplies \& Materials | 0 | 580 | 580 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 22. District Meeting

This category includes expenses for conducting the annual budget vote and referendums.

| Contractual \& Other | 1,745 | 2,465 | 2,465 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Supplies \& Materials | 1,793 | 2,495 | 2,495 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 , 5 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 23. Superintendent of Schools

This category includes expenses for the Superintendent, the secretary to the Superintendent, equipment and office supplies and materials to operate the office of the superintendent. This office provides oversight for all functions of the Ballston Spa Central School District.

| Salaries | 242,156 | 245,316 | 252,345 | 7,029 | $2.87 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 4,993 | 7,755 | 7,755 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 2,107 | 3,055 | 3,055 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 4 9 , \mathbf { 2 5 6 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6 , 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 3 , 1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 4 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed <br> Expense | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Budget |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | Change |  |  |  |  |

## 24. Business Administration

Expenses included here are those associated with the business office staff which is responsible for all business records, payment of bills, budget oversight, preparation of state reports and all other financial matters for the district.

| Salaries | 438,476 | 461,998 | 476,974 | 14,976 | $3.24 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 28,531 | 33,115 | 30,585 | $-2,530$ | $-7.64 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 7,719 | 8,750 | 8,945 | 195 | $2.23 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 4,283 | $\mathbf{4 , 6 5 0}$ | 4,650 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{4 7 9 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 8 , 5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 1 , 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 6 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 9 \%}$ |

## 25. Auditing

Expenses associated with the external auditor, internal auditor, and claims auditor are shown here.

| Salaries | 2,926 | 4,500 | 3,800 | -700 | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 5 6 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contractual \& Other | 27,626 | 46,700 | 46,700 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 1,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 1 , 5 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 2 9 \%}$ |

## 26. Tax Collector

Expenses associated with the operation of the tax collector's office are shown here.

| Salaries | 47,895 | 50,525 | 51,690 | 1,165 | $2.31 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contractual \& Other | 5,800 | 7,205 | 7,205 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 775 | 1,025 | 1,350 | 325 | $31.71 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{5 4 , 4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 4 \%}$ |

## 27. Purchasing

Costs associated specifically with the District's purchasing agent's office are shown here. The purchasing agent is responsible for implementation of district wide purchasing procedures, minimizing the costs associated with purchasing supplies, materials, and equipment, and coordinating large bids.

| Salaries | 61,665 | 63,207 | 65,103 | 1,896 | $3.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 23 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 210 | 575 | 575 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 0 | 900 | 900 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 1 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 8 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 8 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ <br> Actual | $2016-2017$ <br> Adopted | $2017-2018$ <br> Proposed <br> Expense | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Budget |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Change | Change |  |  |  |

## 28. Legal Services

Legal includes expenses for District legal consultants and legal services. The District contracts with a legal firm to provide legal consultation.

| Contractual \& Other | 81,772 | 111,750 | 111,750 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{8 1 , 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 29. Personnel

Personnel matters are the responsibility of the Human Resources department of the District. This department is responsible for the personnel management of over 700 employees including recruitment, contract administration, discipline, employee relations, and union relations.

| Salaries | 289,733 | 287,980 | 297,369 | 9,389 | $3.26 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 19,155 | 30,600 | 30,700 | 100 | $0.33 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 1,736 | 3,900 | 3,900 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 20,325 | 18,800 | 21,800 | 3,000 | $15.96 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 3 0 , 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 , 2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 6 \%}$ |

## 30. Public Information and Services

This category provides funding for the dissemination of information to district residents and manages requests for information from newspapers and other news outlets.

| Salaries | 60,816 | 61,311 | 62,997 | 1,686 | $2.75 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 14,202 | 14,640 | 16,640 | 2,000 | $13.66 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 722 | 1,150 | 1,150 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 12,806 | 16,690 | 16,690 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{8 8 , 5 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 , 7 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 , 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 3 \%}$ |

## 31. Operation of Plant

The category for plant operation consists of expenses necessary to clean and maintain all district buildings. Included here are the costs associated with cleaning supplies, electric, gas, water, and telephone utilities, and the salaries of the cleaning staff.

| Salaries | $1,686,768$ | $1,757,687$ | $1,849,300$ | 91,613 | $5.21 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 11,560 | 18,000 | 21,400 | 3,400 | $18.89 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | $1,395,563$ | $1,909,340$ | $1,812,790$ | $-96,550$ | $-5.06 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 291,155 | 320,000 | 340,500 | 20,500 | $6.41 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 134,495 | 154,000 | 154,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 , 5 1 9 , 5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 9 , 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 7 7 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Actual | Adopted <br> Expense | Proposed <br> Budget | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Change |
| Change |  |  |  |  |  |

## 32. Maintenance of Plant

The category for plant maintenance consists of expenses necessary to keep all district buildings in good repair and conducive to a healthy and inviting learning environment. The funds are used to maintain the buildings, grounds, and include the salaries of the maintenance and equipment technician staff.

| Salaries | 497,258 | 546,519 | 548,104 | 1,585 | $0.29 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 30,023 | 73,000 | 73,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 714,159 | 468,000 | 504,600 | 36,600 | $7.82 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 357,360 | 315,150 | 334,000 | 18,850 | $5.98 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 23,942 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2 , 7 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 5 , 1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 2 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 33. Central Printing \& Mailing

This category of central services includes district wide expenses for printing. copying, and mailing for the district.

| Contractual \& Other | 114,491 | 185,600 | 182,900 | $-2,700$ | $-1.45 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOCES Services | 7,303 | 18,900 | 18,900 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 3 2 \%}$ |

## 34. Central Data Processing

Central data processing includes the staff and other expenses required to maintain the District's computer network, internet connections, servers, desktops, and laptop computers.

| Salaries | 445,028 | 454,875 | 467,073 | 12,198 | $2.68 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 18,493 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Contractual \& Other | 36,020 | 81,000 | 67,200 | $-13,800$ | $-17.04 \%$ |
| Supplies \& Materials | 93,640 | 68,580 | 90,980 | 22,400 | $32.66 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | 277,195 | 325,200 | 338,200 | 13,000 | $4.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{8 7 0 , 3 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 0 , 6 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 4 , 4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 6 \%}$ |

## 35. Unallocated Insurance

Unallocated insurance refers to the District's various insurance requirements, in place to manage risk and potential liability, including property, umbrella, general liability, student accident, and auto.

| Contractual \& Other | 187,888 | 235,700 | 235,700 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |


|  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Actual <br> Expense | Adopted Budget | Proposed Budget | Dollar Change | Percent Change |

## 36. School Association Dues

This category provides for District membership in organizations at the local, county and state levels.

| Contractual \& Other | 10,477 | 11,140 | 11,140 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

37. Judgments and Claims

This category provides for costs associated with legal claims or judgments owed by the District.

| Contractual \& Other | 261315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 6 1 , 3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

38. Refund on Real Property Taxes

Expenses associated with real property tax refunds are charged here.

| Contractual \& Other | 15,108 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

39. BOCES Administrative Costs

This category provides for the District's share of BOCES administration costs.

| BOCES Services | 617,313 | 636,045 | 644,500 | 8,455 | $1.33 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 1 7 , 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 6 , 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 4 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 3 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | Adopted | Proposed | Dollar | Percent |  |
| Budget Account | Expense | Budget | Budget | Change | Change |

## Community Services

## 40. Recreation

Expensed here is a self-sustaining community recreation program conducted by the Ballston Area Recreation Commission that is included as part of the District's propositions and presented each year. The proposition expense is charged here but not initially budgeted directly by the District as it is not a direct District expense.

| Contractual \& Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 41. Civic Activities

Included under the Civic Activities category is expense associated with the Facilities Use Supervisor and the public proposition for the Ballston Spa Public Library. The proposition expense is charged here but not initially budgeted directly by the District.

| Salaries | 29,725 | 30,470 | 30,826 | 356 | $1.17 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Contractual \& Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 9 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 8 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 \%}$ |


| Community Services Total | 29,725 | 30,470 | 30,826 | 356 | $1.17 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Actual <br> Expense | Adopted Budget | Proposed Budget | Dollar <br> Change | Percent Change |

## Employee Benefits

## 42. State Retirement

The expense for employees who belong to the New York State Employees' Retirement System are included here. Retirement benefit costs increase in proportion to salary costs and in accordance with percentages determined by the NYS Employees' Retirement System.

| Employee Benefits | $1,248,524$ | $1,255,000$ | $1,275,000$ | 20,000 | $1.59 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 8 , 5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 9 \%}$ |

## 43. Teachers' Retirement

The expense for employees who belong to the New York State Teachers Retirement System are included here. Retirement benefit costs increase in proportion to salary costs and in accordance with percentages determined by the NYS Teachers' Retirement System.

| Employee Benefits | $4,290,103$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $3,548,560$ | $-596,440$ | $-14.39 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{4 , 2 9 0 , 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 4 8 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 9 6 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 4 . 3 9 \%}$ |

## 44. Social Security

The District's share of social security costs is shown here. Social Security is in accordance with Federal Legislation and increases are in proportion to salaries costs.

| Employee Benefits | $3,184,230$ | $3,335,000$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 0 , 5 8 5}$ | 95,585 | $2.87 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 , 1 8 4 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 3 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 0 , 5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 , 5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 7 \%}$ |

## 45. Workers' Compensation Insurance

Workers' Compensation provides compensation to injured employees and is mandated by the State of New York.

| Employee Benefits | 196,488 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 9 6 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

46. Life Insurance

The cost associated with providing employees with life insurance is shown here.

| Employee Benefits | 17,890 | 17,890 | 17,890 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Actual | Adopted <br> Expense | Proposed <br> Budget | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Change |
| Change |  |  |  |  |  |

## 47. Unemployment Insurance

Unemployment insurance provides compensation to employees no longer working for the District and is mandated by New York State.

| Employee Benefits | 25,148 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{2 5 , 1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 48. Disability Insurance

The cost associated with providing employees with disability insurance is shown here.

| Employee Benefits | 13,517 | 15,040 | 15,700 | 660 | $4.39 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9 \%}$ |

## 49. Hospital, Medical, Dental Insurance

The cost associated with providing employees with health and dental insurance is shown here.

| BOCES Services | 24,525 | 19,475 | 20,000 | 525 | $2.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Employee Benefits | $12,869,836$ | $13,672,400$ | $14,864,525$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 2 , 1 2 5}$ | $8.72 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 9 4 , 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 9 1 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 8 8 4 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 2 , 6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7 1 \%}$ |

## 50. Miscellaneous Benefits

The cost associated with providing employees with 403(b) contributions is shown here.

| Employee Benefits | 32,460 | 28,155 | 28,155 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{3 2 , 4 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| ployee Benefits Total | $\mathbf{2 1 , 9 0 2 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 2 2 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 4 3 5 , 4 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 2 , 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 4 \%}$ |


|  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Actual <br> Expense | Adopted Budget | Proposed Budget | Dollar Change | Percent Change |

## Debt Service \& Transfers

51. Serial Bonds- School Construction

Included here is the funding for the payment of principal and interest on the District's outstanding serial bond issues for school construction.

| Principal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## 52. Bond Anticipation Notes-School Construction

Included here is the funding for the payment of interest on the District's outstanding bond anticipation notes for school construction.

| Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

53. Bond Anticipation Notes- Bus Purchases

Included here is the funding for the payment of principal and interest on the District's outstanding bond anticipation notes for school buses.

| Principal | 905,000 | 886,000 | 853,000 | $\mathbf{- 3 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $-3.72 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest | 6,338 | 15,830 | 22,730 | 6,900 | $43.59 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{9 1 1 , 3 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 1 , 8 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 5 , 7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 6 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 8 9 \%}$ |

## 54. Revenue Anticipation Notes

Included here is the funding for the payment of interest on the District's outstanding revenue anticipation notes.

| Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |


|  | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Account | Actual | Adopted <br> Expense | Proposed <br> Budget | Dollar <br> Budget | Percent <br> Change |
| Change |  |  |  |  |  |

## 55. Transfer to Other Funds

This category is used to record the district's appropriation and transfer of money to other funds as required by accounting procedures. The Special Aid Fund is used to account for programs with funding sources separate from general state aid. The Debt Service Fund is used to account for principal and interest payments on the District's outstanding long-term debt.

| Transfer - Special Aid Fund | 100,719 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Transfer - Debt Service Fund | $6,616,010$ | $6,955,548$ | $6,932,045$ | $-23,503$ | $-0.34 \%$ |
| Transfer - School Lunch Fund | 4,710 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{6 , 7 2 1 , 4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 7 5 , 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 5 2 , 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 3 , 5 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 3 \%}$ |

## 56. Transfer to Capital Fund

This account category is used to record the district's appropriation and transfer of money to other funds as required by accounting procedures. The Capital Fund is used to account for certain capital construction projects that require State Education Department approval.

| Transfer to Capital Funds | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |


| Debt Service \& Transfers Totals | $7,732,777$ | $8,077,378$ | $8,027,775$ | $-49,603$ | $-0.61 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Budget Total
84,162,304 88,459,730 90,340,742 1,881,012
2.13\%

## Summary of Proposed Budget by Functional Area

| Budget Functional Area | 2016-17 <br> Adopted <br> Budget | 2017-18 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Dollar <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Instruction | $44,795,693$ | $45,772,136$ | 976,443 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Pupil Transportation | $3,680,846$ | $3,768,125$ | 87,279 | $2.4 \%$ |
| General Support | $9,152,383$ | $9,306,465$ | 154,082 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Community Services | 30,470 | 30,826 | 356 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Employee Benefits | $22,722,960$ | $23,435,415$ | 712,455 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Debt Service \& Transfers | $8,077,378$ | $8,027,775$ | $-49,603$ | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 8 , 4 5 9 , 7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 , 3 4 0 , 7 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |

## Proposed 2017-2018 General Fund Budget- Three Part Format

The following three-part budget presentation is in accordance with section 170.8 of the regulations of the Commissioner of Education. It separates the budget into the following three categories which include all the costs associated with that category, including fringe benefits:

## Administrative

This category groups the expenses associated with central administrative services (i.e. Board of Education, Superintendent, Business Office, legal, etc.) with the expenses of school building administration and supervisors who spend the majority of their time in administrative or supervisory roles.

## Program

This category includes teaching supplies, materials, and equipment and the expenses associated with teaching faculty and instructional support staff who spend the majority of their time teaching or working directly with students. Also included in this category are the costs associated with pupil transportation and programs for students with disabilities.

## Capital

This category includes the costs associated with the operation of the district's facilities including cleaning, maintenance, and utilities. It also includes the district's costs for debt service, transfers to other funds, and tax certiorari proceedings.

|  | FY2016-17 <br> Adopted <br> Budget | FY2017-18 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Dollar <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Budget Account |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Administration | 5,900 | 5,900 | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Board of Education | 5,666 | 5,673 | 7 | $0.12 \%$ |
| District Clerk | 4,960 | 4,960 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| District Meeting | 382,135 | 393,332 | 11,197 | $2.93 \%$ |
| Superintendent of Schools | 745,823 | 767,209 | 21,386 | $2.87 \%$ |
| Business Administration | 56,511 | 55,460 | $-1,051$ | $-1.86 \%$ |
| Auditing Services | 84,708 | 86,910 | 2,202 | $2.60 \%$ |
| Tax Collection | 98,399 | 101,413 | 3,014 | $3.06 \%$ |
| Purchasing | 65,000 | 65,000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Legal Services | 489,204 | 507,172 | 17,968 | $3.67 \%$ |
| Personnel | 125,284 | 129,975 | 4,691 | $3.74 \%$ |
| Public Information Services | 165,769 | 167,564 | 1,795 | $1.08 \%$ |
| Operation of Plant | 204,500 | 201,800 | $-2,700$ | $-1.32 \%$ |
| Central Printing \& Mailing | $1,184,306$ | $1,225,401$ | 41,095 | $3.47 \%$ |
| Central Data Processing | 235,700 | 235,700 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Unallocated Insurance | 11,140 | 11,140 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| School Association Dues | 636,045 | 644,500 | 8,455 | $1.33 \%$ |
| BOCES Administrative Costs | 771,651 | 797,677 | 26,026 | $3.37 \%$ |
| Curriculum Development | $3,659,141$ | $3,722,893$ | 63,752 | $1.74 \%$ |
| Supervision- Regular Schools | 123,147 | 124,480 | 1,333 | $1.08 \%$ |
| Transportation Services | $\mathbf{9 , 0 5 4 , 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 5 4 , 1 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 , 1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 0 \%}$ |


| Budget Account | FY2016-17 <br> Adopted Budget | FY2017-18 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Dollar <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Services | 46,750 | 46,750 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| In-service Training- Instruction | 406,044 | 390,694 | -15,350 | -3.78\% |
| Teaching-Regular Schools | 38,640,490 | 39,453,695 | 813,205 | 2.10\% |
| Programs for Students with Disabilities | 12,294,860 | 12,600,996 | 306,136 | 2.49\% |
| Occupational Education | 594,000 | 606,100 | 12,100 | 2.04\% |
| Teaching- Special Schools | 221,533 | 228,809 | 7,276 | 3.28\% |
| School Library and Audio Visual | 1,002,384 | 1,016,458 | 14,074 | 1.40\% |
| Computer Assisted Instruction | 1,517,988 | 1,541,668 | 23,680 | 1.56\% |
| Guidance Services | 1,592,358 | 1,680,247 | 87,889 | 5.52\% |
| Health Services | 935,251 | 961,106 | 25,855 | 2.76\% |
| Psychological Services | 554,894 | 576,618 | 21,724 | 3.91\% |
| Social Work Services | 849,537 | 957,743 | 108,206 | 12.74\% |
| Co-curricular Activities | 330,211 | 339,873 | 9,662 | 2.93\% |
| Interscholastic Athletics | 842,005 | 860,718 | 18,713 | 2.22\% |
| Transportation Services | 4,436,945 | 4,574,682 | 137,737 | 3.10\% |
| Bus Garage Building | 152,685 | 165,250 | 12,565 | 8.23\% |
| Contract Transportation | 230,000 | 250,000 | 20,000 | 8.70\% |
| Transportation from BOCES | 6,300 | 6,300 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Civic Activities | 46,121 | 46,728 | 607 | 1.32\% |
| Program Total | 64,700,356 | 66,304,435 | 1,604,079 | 2.48\% |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |
| Operation of Plant | 4,896,113 | 4,964,420 | 68,307 | 1.40\% |
| Maintenance of Plant | 1,705,894 | 1,764,953 | 59,059 | 3.46\% |
| Refund on Real Property Taxes | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Serial Bonds- Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Bond Anticipation Notes- Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Bond Anticipation Notes- Buses | 901,830 | 875,730 | -26,100 | -2.89\% |
| Revenue Anticipation Notes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Transfer to Other Funds | 7,075,548 | 7,052,045 | -23,503 | -0.33\% |
| Transfer to Capital Fund | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Capital Total | 14,704,385 | 14,782,148 | 77,763 | 0.53\% |
| Total | 88,459,730 | 90,340,742 | 1,881,012 | 2.13\% |

## FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY (2015-16)

INFORMATION ABOUT EXPENDITURE RATIOS (2014-15)
(Data are lagged a year.)
Commissioner's Regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general-education and special-education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below.

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

## THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

GENERAL EDUCATION

| EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL |
| :---: |
| \$11,230 |
| SIMILAR DIS |
| AVERAGE NEED/RE |
| GENERAL EDUCATION |
| INSTRUCTIONALEXPENDITURES |
| \$8,350,687,803 |
| PUPILS |
| 755,628 |
| EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL |
| \$11,051 |

INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES
\$46,749,519

| INSTRUCTIONALEXPENDITURES |
| :---: |
| $\$ 46,749,519$ |
| PUPILS |
| 4,163 |

SPECIAL EDUCATION
INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES
\$15,477,982

| PUPILS |
| :---: |
| 614 |

## EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL

\$25,208

| EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 25,208$ |

## ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

GENERAL EDUCATION

| INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES |
| :---: |
| $\$ 31,780,970,752$ |
| PUPILS |
| $2,659,777$ |
| EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL |
| $\$ 11,949$ |

SPECIALEDUCATION

| INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES |
| :---: |
| $\$ 13,848,179,596$ |
| PUPILS |
| 451,571 |


| EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL |
| :---: |
| $\$ 30,667$ |

Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. Pupils resident in the district but attending a charter school are included. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of buildinglevel administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of K-12 students with disabilities for the school year plus students for whom the district receives tuition from another district plus students for whom the district pays tuition to another district. Students attending the State schools at Rome and Batavia, private placements and out-of-state placements are included.

Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

## TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL



Total Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Total Expenditures to Pupils. Total Expenditures include district expenditures for classroom instruction, as well as expenditures for transportation, debt service, community service and district-wide administration that are not included in the Instructional Expenditure values for General Education and Special Education. As such, the sum of General Education and Special Education Instructional Expenditures does not equal the Total Expenditures.

## INFORMATION ABOUT STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (2015-16)

Commissioner's Regulations require reporting students with disabilities by the percent of time they are in general education classrooms and the classification rate of students with disabilities. These data are to be compared with percentages for similar districts and all public schools. The required percentages for this district are reported below.

## STUDENT PLACEMENT (PERCENT OF TIME INSIDE REGULAR CLASSROOM)

THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT


| SEPARATE SETTINGS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13 | $2.3 \%$ |


| OTHER SETTINGS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $2.1 \%$ |

## SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP

 AVERAGE NEED/RESOURCE CAPACITY



NY STATE

| $80 \%$ OR MORE |
| :---: |
| $58.2 \%$ |


| $40 \%-79 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $11.7 \%$ |


| LESS THAN 40\% |
| :---: |
| $19.9 \%$ |

SEPARATE SETTINGS

## 5.3\%

| OTHER SETTINGS |
| :---: |
| $5.1 \%$ |

The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5. The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on BEDS Day, which is the first Wednesday of the reporting year. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special-education services they receive. Rounding of percentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from $100 \%$.

## SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CLASSIFICATION RATE


#### Abstract

 | NY STATE |
| :---: |
| $14.7 \%$ |

This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) responsibility to ensure the provision of special-education services. The denominator includes all school-age students who reside in the district. In the case of parentally placed students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the school district. Source data are drawn from the SIRS and from the Basic Education Data System (BEDS).

Similar District Groups are identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information is available on our NRC capacity categories page.


## APPENDIX C

## Administrative Staff Compensation 2017-2018 School Year

Chapter 474 of the Laws of 1996 includes a provision for the publication of the Superintendent and Administrator salaries as part of the annual school district budget process. Salaries, benefits and other in-kind compensation are required for all Superintendents. In addition, other administrators or supervisors whose annual salary is in excess of $\$ 132,000$ must have their salary and position title disclosed in the budget document. As some contracts associated with individual employees are not finalized, the salary amounts include estimated increases for 20172018.

## Superintendent of Schools

| Annual Salary | $\$ 188,015$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annualized Cost of Benefits | $\$ 68,689$ |

Assistant Superintendent for Business \& Support Services
Annual Salary
\$158,044
Annualized Cost of Benefits
\$ 52,116

Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources and Professional Development
Annual Salary \$125,200
Annualized Cost of Benefits \$ 37,272

Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Assessment and Pupil Services

Annual Salary
Annualized Cost of Benefits

Other Administrative / Supervisory Staff
Building Principal
\$143,907

Note: The annualized cost of benefits includes required employer contributions to social security and Medicaid, and other benefits such as health insurance, dental insurance, life insurance and retirement contributions. The cost of benefits will vary depending which plans, if any, an employee enrolls in and on whether enrollment is for family, two-person, or individual coverage.

## Property Tax Report Card 2017-2018 School Year

| Budgeted | Budgeted | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | Change |
| (A) | (B) | (C) |


| Total Budgeted Amount, not including Separate Propositions | \$88,459,730 | \$90,340,742 | 2.13\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Proposed Tax Levy to Support the Total Budgeted Amount ${ }^{1}$ | \$47,591,657 | \$48,990,852 |  |
| B. Tax Levy to Support Library Debt, if Applicable | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| C. Tax Levy to Non-Excludable Propositions, if Applicable ${ }^{2}$ | \$28,000 | \$30,000 |  |
| D. Total Tax Cap Reserve Amount Used to Reduce Current Year Levy, if Applicable | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| E. Total Proposed School Year Tax Levy (A+B+C-D) | \$47,619,657 | \$49,020,852 | 2.94\% |
| F. Permissible Exclusions to the School Tax Levy Limit | \$1,505,226 | \$1,594,478 |  |
| G School Tax Levy Limit, Excluding Levy for Permissiable Exclusions ${ }^{3}$ | \$46,997,827 | \$48,701,702 |  |
| H. Total Proposed Tax Levy for School Purposes, Excluding Permissible Exclusions and Levy for Library Debt Plus Prior Year Tax Cap Reserve (E-B-F+D) | \$46,114,431 | \$47,426,374 |  |
| I. Difference (G-H); (negative value requires 60.0\% voter approval) | \$883,396 | \$1,275,328 |  |
| Public School Enrollment | 4,085 | 4,109 | 0.59\% |
| Consumer Price Index |  |  | 1.26\% |


|  | Actual <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ <br> (D) | Estimated <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ <br> (E) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted Restricted Fund Balance | $\$ 5,265,000$ | $\$ 5,265,000$ |
| Assigned Appropriated Fund Balance | $\$ 1,175,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Adjusted Unrestricted Fund Balance | $\$ 3,108,298$ | $\$ 3,550,000$ |
| Adjusted Unrestricted Fund Balance as a Percent of the Total Budget | $3.51 \%$ | $3.93 \%$ |

## BALLSTON SPA CSD ENROLLMENT (2015-16)



## OTHER GROUPS

| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS |  | STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES |  | ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 1\% | 610 | 15\% | 1,346 | 33\% |

ENROLLMENT BY GRADE

| GROUP | Total | PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE-K (HALF DAY) | 43 | 1\% |
| PRE-K(FULLIDAY) | 78 | 2\% |
| K(FULL DAY) | 312 | 8\% |
| 1ST GRADE | 299 | 7\% |
| 2NDGRADE | 313 | 8\% |
| 3RD GRADE | 342 | 8\% |
| 4THGRADE | 332 | 8\% |
| 5THGRADE | 282 | 7\% |
| 6TH GRADE | 305 | 7\% |
| UNGRADED ELEMENTARY | 17 | 0\% |
| 7THGRADE | 322 | 8\% |
| 8THGRADE | 302 | 7\% |
| 9 9HGRADE | 347 | 8\% |
| 10TH GRADE | 308 | 7\% |
| 11THGRADE | 294 | 7\% |
| 12THGRADE | 305 | 7\% |
| UNGRADED SECONDARY | 49 | 1\% |



# FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH (2015-16) 

ELIGIBLE FOR FREELUNCH
ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICELUNCH

STUDENT SUSPENSIONS (2014-15)

TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS (2015-16)

| TOTAL TEACHERS | 328 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PERCENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE | 0\% |
| PERCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE | 1\% |
| PERCENT WITH FEWERTHAN THREE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE | 1\% |
| PERCENTAGE WITH MASTER'S DEGREEPLUS 30 HOURS ORDOCTORATE | 21\% |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF CORE CLASSES | 839 |
| PERCENT NOT TAUGHT BYHIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS IN THIS DISTRICT | 839 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF CLASSES | 1,234 |
| PERCENT TAUGHT BY TEACHERS WITHOUT APPROPRIATE CERTIFICATION | 1\% |

HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETERS (2015-16)

GROUP
COMPLETERS (GRADUATES + COMMENCEMENT CREDENTIALS)
GRADUATES (REGENTS + LOCAL DIPLOMAS)

| 300 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 279 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
|  | LOCAL DIPLOMAS |  |
|  | 19 | $6 \%$ |
|  | 6 | $2 \%$ |
|  | 13 | $62 \%$ |


| GROUP | REGENTS WITH ADVANCED DESIGNATION | REGENTS WITH CTE ENDORSEMENT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 146 | $49 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 146 | $52 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

REGENTS DIPLOMA

281
273
8

94\%
98\%
$38 \%$

HIGH SCHOOL NON-COMPLETERS (2015-16)

ALL STUDENTS
30
$2 \%$
3
0\%
33
$3 \%$

GENERALEDUCATION
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

## GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 316

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 293 | 54\% | 52 | 18\% | 84 | 29\% | 141 | 48\% | 16 | 5\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 262 | 58\% | 31 | 12\% | 79 | 30\% | 136 | 52\% | 16 | 6\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 31 | 16\% | 21 | 68\% | 5 | 16\% | 5 | 16\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5 | 60\% | 2 | 40\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 5 | 20\% | 2 | 40\% | 2 | 40\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 276 | 53\% | 48 | 17\% | 82 | 30\% | 131 | 47\% | 15 | 5\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 86\% | 1 | 14\% |
| FEMALE | 136 | 51\% | 21 | 15\% | 45 | 33\% | 60 | 44\% | 10 | 7\% |
| MALE | 157 | 55\% | 31 | 20\% | 39 | 25\% | 81 | 52\% | 6 | 4\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 293 | 54\% | 52 | 18\% | 84 | 29\% | 141 | 48\% | 16 | 5\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 93 | 32\% | 31 | 33\% | 32 | 34\% | 27 | 29\% | 3 | 3\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 200 | 64\% | 21 | 11\% | 52 | 26\% | 114 | 57\% | 13 | 7\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 293 | 54\% | 52 | 18\% | 84 | 29\% | 141 | 48\% | 16 | 5\% |

GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS
Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 316

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 274 | 58\% | 45 | 16\% | 70 | 26\% | 97 | 35\% | 62 | 23\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 242 | 65\% | 20 | 8\% | 64 | 26\% | 96 | 40\% | 62 | 26\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 32 | 3\% | 25 | 78\% | 6 | 19\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 12 | 42\% | 4 | 33\% | 3 | 25\% | 3 | 25\% | 2 | 17\% |
| WHITE | 253 | 60\% | 37 | 15\% | 65 | 26\% | 93 | 37\% | 58 | 23\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 9 | 33\% | 4 | 44\% | 2 | 22\% | 1 | 11\% | 2 | 22\% |
| FEMALE | 127 | 65\% | 14 | 11\% | 31 | 24\% | 41 | 32\% | 41 | 32\% |
| MALE | 147 | 52\% | 31 | 21\% | 39 | 27\% | 56 | 38\% | 21 | 14\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 274 | 58\% | 45 | 16\% | 70 | 26\% | 97 | 35\% | 62 | 23\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 87 | 32\% | 31 | 36\% | 28 | 32\% | 22 | 25\% | 6 | 7\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 187 | 70\% | 14 | 7\% | 42 | 22\% | 75 | 40\% | 56 | 30\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 274 | 58\% | 45 | 16\% | 70 | 26\% | 97 | 35\% | 62 | 23\% |

## GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 299

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 227 | 37\% | 72 | 32\% | 72 | 32\% | 57 | 25\% | 26 | 11\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 194 | 43\% | 40 | 21\% | 71 | 37\% | 57 | 29\% | 26 | 13\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 33 | 0\% | 32 | 97\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANICORLATINO | 7 | 14\% | 4 | 57\% | 2 | 29\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 213 | 37\% | 65 | 31\% | 69 | 32\% | 54 | 25\% | 25 | 12\% |
| MUITIRACIAL | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 7 | 43\% | 3 | 43\% | 1 | 14\% | 2 | 29\% | 1 | 14\% |
| FEMALE | 99 | 44\% | 28 | 28\% | 27 | 27\% | 30 | 30\% | 14 | 14\% |
| MALE | 128 | 30\% | 44 | 34\% | 45 | 35\% | 27 | 21\% | 12 | 9\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 225 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 79 | 19\% | 41 | 52\% | 23 | 29\% | 12 | 15\% | 3 | 4\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 148 | 46\% | 31 | 21\% | 49 | 33\% | 45 | 30\% | 23 | 16\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 227 | 37\% | 72 | 32\% | 72 | 32\% | 57 | 25\% | 26 | 11\% |

## GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 296

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 218 | 33\% | 69 | 32\% | 78 | 36\% | 47 | 22\% | 24 | 11\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 187 | 37\% | 46 | 25\% | 71 | 38\% | 46 | 25\% | 24 | 13\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 31 | 3\% | 23 | 74\% | 7 | 23\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 7 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 8 | 13\% | 4 | 50\% | 3 | 38\% | 1 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 8 | 38\% | 3 | 38\% | 2 | 25\% | 3 | 38\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 194 | 32\% | 60 | 31\% | 72 | 37\% | 41 | 21\% | 21 | 11\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUPTOTAL | 8 | 63\% | 2 | 25\% | 1 | 13\% | 2 | 25\% | 3 | 38\% |
| FEMALE | 102 | 38\% | 25 | 25\% | 38 | 37\% | 23 | 23\% | 16 | 16\% |
| MALE | 116 | 28\% | 44 | 38\% | 40 | 34\% | 24 | 21\% | 8 | 7\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 214 | -\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 4 | -\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 68 | 6\% | 37 | 54\% | 27 | 40\% | 3 | 4\% | 1 | 1\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 150 | 45\% | 32 | 21\% | 51 | 34\% | 44 | 29\% | 23 | 15\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 218 | 33\% | 69 | 32\% | 78 | 36\% | 47 | 22\% | 24 | 11\% |

## GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 300

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 204 | 31\% | 51 | 25\% | 90 | 44\% | 44 | 22\% | 19 | 9\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 173 | 36\% | 28 | 16\% | 82 | 47\% | 44 | 25\% | 19 | 11\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 31 | 0\% | 23 | 74\% | 8 | 26\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 6 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 191 | 31\% | 48 | 25\% | 84 | 44\% | 42 | 22\% | 17 | 9\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 7 | 14\% | 3 | 43\% | 3 | 43\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 96 | 40\% | 12 | 13\% | 46 | 48\% | 26 | 27\% | 12 | 13\% |
| MALE | 108 | 23\% | 39 | 36\% | 44 | 41\% | 18 | 17\% | 7 | 6\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 204 | 31\% | 51 | 25\% | 90 | 44\% | 44 | 22\% | 19 | 9\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 60 | 20\% | 29 | 48\% | 19 | 32\% | 11 | 18\% | 1 | 2\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 144 | 35\% | 22 | 15\% | 71 | 49\% | 33 | 23\% | 18 | 13\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 204 | 31\% | 51 | 25\% | 90 | 44\% | 44 | 22\% | 19 | 9\% |

## GRADE 8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 310

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 141 | 50\% | 24 | 17\% | 47 | 33\% | 43 | 30\% | 27 | 19\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 125 | 56\% | 13 | 10\% | 42 | 34\% | 43 | 34\% | 27 | 22\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 16 | 0\% | 11 | 69\% | 5 | 31\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 136 | 50\% | 22 | 16\% | 46 | 34\% | 41 | 30\% | 27 | 20\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 40\% | 2 | 40\% | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 40\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 72 | 56\% | 10 | 14\% | 22 | 31\% | 22 | 31\% | 18 | 25\% |
| MALE | 69 | 43\% | 14 | 20\% | 25 | 36\% | 21 | 30\% | 9 | 13\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 141 | 50\% | 24 | 17\% | 47 | 33\% | 43 | 30\% | 27 | 19\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 43 | 23\% | 16 | 37\% | 17 | 40\% | 5 | 12\% | 5 | 12\% |
| NOTECONOMICALIY DISADVANTAGED | 98 | 61\% | 8 | 8\% | 30 | 31\% | 38 | 39\% | 22 | 22\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 141 | 50\% | 24 | 17\% | 47 | 33\% | 43 | 30\% | 27 | 19\% |

## GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 317

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 290 | 59\% | 42 | 14\% | 78 | 27\% | 89 | 31\% | 81 | 28\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 260 | 63\% | 25 | 10\% | 72 | 28\% | 85 | 33\% | 78 | 30\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 30 | 23\% | 17 | 57\% | 6 | 20\% | 4 | 13\% | 3 | 10\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | 5 | 40\% | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 40\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 40\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 5 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 273 | 59\% | 40 | 15\% | 73 | 27\% | 87 | 32\% | 73 | 27\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUPTOTAL | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 6 | 86\% |
| FEMALE | 136 | 56\% | 16 | 12\% | 44 | 32\% | 38 | 28\% | 38 | 28\% |
| MALE | 154 | 61\% | 26 | 17\% | 34 | 22\% | 51 | 33\% | 43 | 28\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 290 | 59\% | 42 | 14\% | 78 | 27\% | 89 | 31\% | 81 | 28\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 94 | 40\% | 28 | 30\% | 28 | 30\% | 26 | 28\% | 12 | 13\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 196 | 67\% | 14 | 7\% | 50 | 26\% | 63 | 32\% | 69 | 35\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 290 | 59\% | 42 | 14\% | 78 | 27\% | 89 | 31\% | 81 | 28\% |

## GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 317

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 272 | 59\% | 46 | 17\% | 65 | 24\% | 78 | 29\% | 83 | 31\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 239 | 66\% | 22 | $9 \%$ | 59 | 25\% | 75 | 31\% | 83 | 35\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 33 | 9\% | 24 | 73\% | 6 | 18\% | 3 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 12 | 42\% | 2 | 17\% | 5 | 42\% | 4 | 33\% | 1 | 8\% |
| WHITE | 251 | 61\% | 39 | 16\% | 60 | 24\% | 72 | 29\% | 80 | 32\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 9 | 44\% | 5 | 56\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% | 2 | 22\% |
| FEMALE | 124 | 58\% | 19 | 15\% | 33 | 27\% | 34 | 27\% | 38 | 31\% |
| MALE | 148 | 60\% | 27 | 18\% | 32 | 22\% | 44 | 30\% | 45 | 30\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 272 | 59\% | 46 | 17\% | 65 | 24\% | 78 | 29\% | 83 | 31\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 85 | 38\% | 30 | 35\% | 23 | 27\% | 21 | 25\% | 11 | 13\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 187 | 69\% | 16 | 9\% | 42 | 22\% | 57 | 30\% | 72 | 39\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 272 | 59\% | 46 | 17\% | 65 | 24\% | 78 | 29\% | 83 | 31\% |

## GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 315

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 223 | 52\% | 51 | 23\% | 55 | 25\% | 71 | 32\% | 46 | 21\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 190 | 61\% | 22 | 12\% | 53 | 28\% | 70 | 37\% | 45 | 24\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 33 | 6\% | 29 | 88\% | 2 | 6\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 7 | 14\% | 4 | 57\% | 2 | 29\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 209 | 55\% | 44 | 21\% | 51 | 24\% | 70 | 33\% | 44 | 21\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 7 | 29\% | 3 | 43\% | 2 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 29\% |
| FEMALE | 97 | 45\% | 23 | 24\% | 30 | 31\% | 28 | 29\% | 16 | 16\% |
| MALE | 126 | 58\% | 28 | 22\% | 25 | 20\% | 43 | 34\% | 30 | 24\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 221 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 73 | 37\% | 26 | 36\% | 20 | 27\% | 15 | 21\% | 12 | 16\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 150 | 60\% | 25 | 17\% | 35 | 23\% | 56 | 37\% | 34 | 23\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 223 | 52\% | 51 | 23\% | 55 | 25\% | 71 | 32\% | 46 | 21\% |

## GRADE 6 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 319

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 201 | 56\% | 30 | 15\% | 59 | 29\% | 41 | 20\% | 71 | 35\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 174 | 62\% | 13 | 7\% | 53 | 30\% | 39 | 22\% | 69 | 40\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 27 | 15\% | 17 | 63\% | 6 | 22\% | 2 | 7\% | 2 | 7\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 7 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7 | 14\% | 3 | 43\% | 3 | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 8 | 50\% | 2 | 25\% | 2 | 25\% | 2 | 25\% | 2 | 25\% |
| WHITE | 178 | 57\% | 25 | 14\% | 52 | 29\% | 39 | 22\% | 62 | 35\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUPTOTAL | 8 | 75\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 75\% |
| FEMALE | 95 | 60\% | 9 | 9\% | 29 | 31\% | 24 | 25\% | 33 | 35\% |
| MALE | 106 | 52\% | 21 | 20\% | 30 | 28\% | 17 | 16\% | 38 | 36\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 197 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 60 | 22\% | 24 | 40\% | 23 | 38\% | 6 | 10\% | 7 | 12\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 141 | 70\% | 6 | 4\% | 36 | 26\% | 35 | 25\% | 64 | 45\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 201 | 56\% | 30 | 15\% | 59 | 29\% | 41 | 20\% | 71 | 35\% |

## GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.
Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.


MEAN SCORE: 323

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 190 | 55\% | 25 | 13\% | 60 | 32\% | 54 | 28\% | 51 | 27\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 166 | 62\% | 12 | 7\% | 51 | 31\% | 53 | 32\% | 50 | 30\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 24 | 8\% | 13 | 54\% | 9 | 38\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 6 | 86\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 178 | 54\% | 25 | 14\% | 56 | 31\% | 52 | 29\% | 45 | 25\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 5 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 89 | 64\% | 7 | 8\% | 25 | 28\% | 30 | 34\% | 27 | 30\% |
| MALE | 101 | 48\% | 18 | 18\% | 35 | 35\% | 24 | 24\% | 24 | 24\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 190 | 55\% | 25 | 13\% | 60 | 32\% | 54 | 28\% | 51 | 27\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 49 | 22\% | 15 | 31\% | 23 | 47\% | 5 | 10\% | 6 | 12\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 141 | 67\% | 10 | 7\% | 37 | 26\% | 49 | 35\% | 45 | 32\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 190 | 55\% | 25 | 13\% | 60 | 32\% | 54 | 28\% | 51 | 27\% |

## GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.
Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 math include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP.


MEAN SCORE: 295

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 90 | 17\% | 24 | 27\% | 51 | 57\% | 13 | 14\% | 2 | 2\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 78 | 18\% | 16 | 21\% | 48 | 62\% | 12 | 15\% | 2 | 3\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 12 | 8\% | 8 | 67\% | 3 | 25\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 87 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 90 | 17\% | 24 | 27\% | 51 | 57\% | 13 | 14\% | 2 | 2\% |
| FEMALE | 48 | 13\% | 13 | 27\% | 29 | 60\% | 6 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% |
| MALE | 42 | 21\% | 11 | 26\% | 22 | 52\% | 7 | 17\% | 2 | 5\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 90 | 17\% | 24 | 27\% | 51 | 57\% | 13 | 14\% | 2 | 2\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 31 | 10\% | 15 | 48\% | 13 | 42\% | 2 | 6\% | 1 | 3\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 59 | 20\% | 9 | 15\% | 38 | 64\% | 11 | 19\% | 1 | 2\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 90 | 17\% | 24 | 27\% | 51 | 57\% | 13 | 14\% | 2 | 2\% |

## GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS MATH TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics.

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | 4\&ABOVE |  | 3 \& ABOVE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 58 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 57 | 98\% | 58 | 100\% |

## GRADE 4 SCIENCE

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.


MEAN SCORE: 84

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 295 | 93\% | 11 | 4\% | 11 | 4\% | 91 | 31\% | 182 | 62\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 257 | 96\% | 2 | 1\% | 7 | 3\% | 71 | 28\% | 177 | 69\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 38 | 66\% | 9 | 24\% | 4 | 11\% | 20 | 53\% | 5 | 13\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 4 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANICORLATINO | 11 | 82\% | 2 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 27\% | 6 | 55\% |
| WHITE | 275 | 94\% | 8 | 3\% | 8 | 3\% | 87 | 32\% | 172 | 63\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 9 | 56\% | 1 | 11\% | 3 | 33\% | 1 | 11\% | 4 | 44\% |
| FEMALE | 137 | 94\% | 4 | 3\% | 4 | 3\% | 37 | 27\% | 92 | 67\% |
| MALE | 158 | 91\% | 7 | 4\% | 7 | 4\% | 54 | 34\% | 90 | 57\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 295 | 93\% | 11 | 4\% | 11 | 4\% | 91 | 31\% | 182 | 62\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 91 | 85\% | 8 | 9\% | 6 | 7\% | 40 | 44\% | 37 | 41\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 204 | 96\% | 3 | 1\% | 5 | 2\% | 51 | 25\% | 145 | 71\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 295 | 93\% | 11 | 4\% | 11 | 4\% | 91 | 31\% | 182 | 62\% |

## GRADE 8 SCIENCE

Due to changes in the 2015-16 grades 3-8 ELA and math exams, the proficiency rates from exams prior to 2015-16 are not directly comparable to the 2015-16 proficiency rates.
Data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test and grade 8 students who took a Regents science test in lieu of this test. Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 science include only those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.


MEAN SCORE: 75

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 185 | 81\% | 7 | 4\% | 29 | 16\% | 92 | 50\% | 57 | 31\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 162 | 86\% | 2 | 1\% | 21 | 13\% | 84 | 52\% | 55 | 34\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 23 | 43\% | 5 | 22\% | 8 | 35\% | 8 | 35\% | 2 | $9 \%$ |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIHAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 179 | 80\% | 7 | 4\% | 28 | 16\% | 87 | 49\% | 57 | 32\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 5 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 93 | 77\% | 3 | 3\% | 18 | 19\% | 51 | 55\% | 21 | 23\% |
| MALE | 92 | 84\% | 4 | 4\% | 11 | 12\% | 41 | 45\% | 36 | 39\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 185 | 81\% | 7 | 4\% | 29 | 16\% | 92 | 50\% | 57 | 31\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 62 | 65\% | 4 | 6\% | 18 | 29\% | 29 | 47\% | 11 | 18\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADV ANTAGED | 123 | 89\% | 3 | 2\% | 11 | 9\% | 63 | 51\% | 46 | 37\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 185 | 81\% | 7 | 4\% | 29 | 16\% | 92 | 50\% | 57 | 31\% |

GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS SCIENCE TEST
Accelerated grade 8 students who take a Regents science test in lieu of the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 73 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 8\% | 67 | 92\% |

## GRADE: 4 <br> READING

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 32\% | 32\% | 27\% | 9\% |  |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASK... | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN... | 20\% | 30\% | 33\% | 17\% |  |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICA... | 48\% | 34\% | 15\% | 3\% |  |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 44\% | 37\% | 17\% | 2\% |  |
| WHITE | 20\% | 31\% | 37\% | 12\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | 70\% | 22\% | 7\% | 1\% | 98 |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNER. | 74\% | 21\% | 4\% | 1\% | 88 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT... | 43\% | 36\% | 18\% | 3\% |  |

MATHEMATICS

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 21\% | 44\% | 30\% | 5\% |  |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASK... | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN... | 12\% | 31\% | 42\% | 15\% |  |
| BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICA... | 40\% | 46\% | 13\% | 1\% |  |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 28\% | 51\% | 20\% | 1\% |  |
| WHITE | 12\% | 41\% | 40\% | 7\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 49\% | 39\% | 10\% | 2\% | 98 |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER... | 56\% | 35\% | 9\% | *\% | 91 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT... | 29\% | 48\% | 21\% | 2\% |  |

GRADE: 8
READING

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 27\% | 40\% | 29\% | 4\% |  |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASK... | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN... | 19\% | 39\% | 34\% | 8\% |  |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICA... | 42\% | 41\% | 16\% | 1\% |  |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 35\% | 43\% | 20\% | 2\% |  |
| WHITE | 18\% | 39\% | 38\% | 5\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 59\% | 33\% | 8\% | *\% | 98 |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER.. | 78\% | 19\% | 3\% | *\% | 89 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT... | 36\% | 42\% | 21\% | 1\% |  |

## MATHEMATICS

| GROUP | BELOW BASIC | BASIC | PROFICIENT | ADVANCED | PARTICIPATION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | $31 \%$ | $38 \%$ |  |  |  |
| AMERICANINDIANORALASK... | $* \%$ | $*$ | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |


| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN... | 18\% | 30\% | 33\% | 19\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICA.. | 48\% | 37\% | 13\% | 2\% |  |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 41\% | 40\% | 16\% | 3\% |  |
| WHITE | 20\% | 40\% | 31\% | 9\% |  |
| MULTIRACIAL | *\% | *\% | *\% | *\% |  |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 64\% | 27\% | 8\% | 1\% | 99 |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNER.. | 72\% | 21\% | 6\% | 1\% | 94 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANT.. | 40\% | 39\% | 17\% | 4\% |  |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 326 | 88\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 77 | 24\% | 210 | 64\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 289 | 95\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 67 | 23\% | 207 | 72\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 37 | 35\% | 3 | 8\% | 10 | 27\% | 10 | 27\% | 3 | 8\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK ORAFRICANAMERICAN | 6 | 67\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 67\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 314 | 89\% | 2 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 75 | 24\% | 203 | 65\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 3 | 50\% |
| FEMALE | 158 | 91\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 30 | 19\% | 113 | 72\% |
| MALE | 168 | 86\% | 3 | 2\% | 9 | 5\% | 47 | 28\% | 97 | 58\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 326 | 88\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 77 | 24\% | 210 | 64\% |
| ECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED | 79 | 63\% | 1 | 1\% | 8 | 10\% | 23 | 29\% | 27 | 34\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 247 | 96\% | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 54 | 22\% | 183 | 74\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 326 | 88\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 77 | 24\% | 210 | 64\% |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP TOTALTESTED PROFICIENT LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3

LEVEL 4

| ALLSTUDENTS | 326 | 90\% | 4 | 1\% | 14 | 4\% | 169 | 52\% | 125 | 38\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 289 | 97\% | 2 | 1\% | 3 | 1\% | 154 | 53\% | 125 | 43\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 37 | 41\% | 2 | 5\% | 11 | 30\% | 15 | 41\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK ORAFRICANAMERICAN | 6 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 314 | 91\% | 4 | 1\% | 12 | 4\% | 162 | 52\% | 124 | 39\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 4 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 158 | 91\% | 1 | 1\% | 4 | 3\% | 72 | 46\% | 72 | 46\% |
| MALE | 168 | 89\% | 3 | 2\% | 10 | 6\% | 97 | 58\% | 53 | 32\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 326 | 90\% | 4 | 1\% | 14 | 4\% | 169 | 52\% | 125 | 38\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 79 | 70\% | 2 | 3\% | 13 | 16\% | 42 | 53\% | 13 | 16\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 247 | 97\% | 2 | 1\% | 1 | 0\% | 127 | 51\% | 112 | 45\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 326 | 90\% | 4 | 1\% | 14 | 4\% | 169 | 52\% | 125 | 38\% |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 326 | 87\% | 12 | 4\% | 12 | 4\% | 127 | 39\% | 157 | 48\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 289 | 95\% | 4 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 119 | 41\% | 156 | 54\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 37 | 24\% | 8 | 22\% | 10 | 27\% | 8 | 22\% | 1 | 3\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 6 | 67\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 2 | 33\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 314 | 88\% | 11 | 4\% | 12 | 4\% | 121 | 39\% | 154 | 49\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 67\% | 1 | 17\% |
| FEMALE | 158 | 89\% | 3 | 2\% | 4 | 3\% | 65 | 41\% | 76 | 48\% |
| MALE | 168 | 85\% | 9 | 5\% | 8 | 5\% | 62 | 37\% | 81 | 48\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 326 | 87\% | 12 | 4\% | 12 | 4\% | 127 | 39\% | 157 | 48\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 79 | 62\% | 7 | 9\% | 11 | 14\% | 30 | 38\% | 19 | 24\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 247 | 95\% | 5 | 2\% | 1 | 0\% | 97 | 39\% | 138 | 56\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 326 | 87\% | 12 | 4\% | 12 | 4\% | 127 | 39\% | 157 | 48\% |

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL U.S. HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION 



| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 326 | 88\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 80 | 25\% | 207 | 63\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 289 | 95\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 25\% | 204 | 71\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 37 | 32\% | 3 | 8\% | 10 | 27\% | 9 | 24\% | 3 | 8\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 2 | 33\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 314 | 89\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 74 | 24\% | 204 | 65\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 67\% | 1 | 17\% |
| FEMALE | 158 | 90\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 2\% | 39 | 25\% | 103 | 65\% |
| MALE | 168 | 86\% | 3 | 2\% | 7 | 4\% | 41 | 24\% | 104 | 62\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS | 326 | 88\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 80 | 25\% | 207 | 63\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 79 | 65\% | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 9\% | 24 | 30\% | 27 | 34\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 247 | 96\% | 2 | 1\% | 3 | 1\% | 56 | 23\% | 180 | 73\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 326 | 88\% | 3 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 80 | 25\% | 207 | 63\% |

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP TOTALTESTED PROFICIENT LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3

LEVEL 4

| ALLSTUDENTS | 326 | 92\% | 2 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 116 | 36\% | 185 | 57\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 289 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0\% | 102 | 35\% | 182 | 63\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 37 | 46\% | 2 | 5\% | 9 | 24\% | 14 | 38\% | 3 | 8\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 314 | 93\% | 2 | 1\% | 9 | 3\% | 110 | 35\% | 182 | 58\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 2 | 33\% |
| FEMALE | 158 | 92\% | 1 | 1\% | 3 | 2\% | 52 | 33\% | 94 | 59\% |
| MALE | 168 | 92\% | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 4\% | 64 | 38\% | 91 | 54\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 326 | 92\% | 2 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 116 | 36\% | 185 | 57\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 79 | 80\% | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 9\% | 38 | 48\% | 25 | 32\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 247 | 96\% | 1 | 0\% | 3 | 1\% | 78 | 32\% | 160 | 65\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 326 | 92\% | 2 | 1\% | 10 | 3\% | 116 | 36\% | 185 | 57\% |

# COMPREHENSIVE ENGLISH <br> REGENTS COMPREHENSIVE ENGLISH 

| GROUP | TOTAL TE | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 9 | 56\% | 0 | 0\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 10 | 9 | 90\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 6 | 4 | 67\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% |
| BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 9 | 56\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 6 | 6 | 100\% | 4 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% |
| MALE | 10 | 7 | 70\% | 5 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 9 | 56\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ECONOMICALIY DISADVANTAGED | 9 | 8 | 89\% | 4 | 44\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 7 | 5 | $71 \%$ | 5 | 71\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 9 | 56\% | 0 | 0\% |

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 306 | 10 | 3\% | 15 | 5\% | 66 | 22\% | 47 | 15\% | 168 | 55\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 269 | 6 | 2\% | 9 | 3\% | 49 | 18\% | 42 | 16\% | 163 | 61\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 37 | 4 | 11\% | 6 | 16\% | 17 | 46\% | 5 | 14\% | 5 | 14\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 8 | 1 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 38\% | 1 | 13\% | 3 | 38\% |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 288 | 9 | 3\% | 15 | 5\% | 60 | 21\% | 44 | 15\% | 160 | 56\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 10 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 30\% | 2 | 20\% | 5 | 50\% |
| FEMALE | 151 | 4 | 3\% | 8 | 5\% | 35 | 23\% | 20 | 13\% | 84 | 56\% |
| MALE | 155 | 6 | 4\% | 7 | 5\% | 31 | 20\% | 27 | 17\% | 84 | 54\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 305 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 72 | 7 | 10\% | 7 | 10\% | 25 | 35\% | 13 | 18\% | 20 | 28\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 234 | 3 | 1\% | 8 | 3\% | 41 | 18\% | 34 | 15\% | 148 | 63\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 306 | 10 | 3\% | 15 | 5\% | 66 | 22\% | 47 | 15\% | 168 | 55\% |

## INTEGRATED ALGEBRA

REGENTS INTEGRATED ALGEBRA

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FEMALE | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

GEOMETRY
REGENTS GEOMETRY

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MALE | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 7 | 70\% | 0 | 0\% |


| GROUP | ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY REGENTS ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| ALL STUDENTS | 76 | 52 | 68\% | 30 | 39\% | 2 | 3\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 76 | 52 | 68\% | 30 | 39\% | 2 | 3\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAllan/OTHER PACIFIC... | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 76 | 52 | 68\% | 30 | 39\% | 2 | 3\% |
| FEMALE | 40 | 25 | 63\% | 14 | 35\% | 2 | 5\% |
| MALE | 36 | 27 | 75\% | 16 | 44\% | 0 | 0\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 76 | 52 | 68\% | 30 | 39\% | 2 | 3\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 76 | 52 | 68\% | 30 | 39\% | 2 | 3\% |

## ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

## ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 391 | 14 | 4\% | 32 | 8\% | 137 | 35\% | 122 | 31\% | 86 | 22\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 323 | 5 | 2\% | 15 | 5\% | 108 | 33\% | 111 | 34\% | 84 | 26\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 68 | 9 | 13\% | 17 | 25\% | 29 | 43\% | 11 | 16\% | 2 | 3\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 8 | 1 | 13\% | 1 | 13\% | 2 | 25\% | 4 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 8 | 2 | 25\% | 2 | 25\% | 3 | 38\% | 1 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% |
| WHITE | 365 | 11 | 3\% | 29 | 8\% | 126 | 35\% | 115 | 32\% | 84 | 23\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 10 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 60\% | 2 | 20\% | 2 | 20\% |
| FEMALE | 196 | 7 | 4\% | 17 | 9\% | 71 | 36\% | 57 | 29\% | 44 | 22\% |
| MALE | 195 | 7 | 4\% | 15 | 8\% | 66 | 34\% | 65 | 33\% | 42 | 22\% |
| NON-ENGIISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 387 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 100 | 7 | 7\% | 19 | 19\% | 42 | 42\% | 22 | 22\% | 10 | 10\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 291 | 7 | 2\% | 13 | 4\% | 95 | 33\% | 100 | 34\% | 76 | 26\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 391 | 14 | 4\% | 32 | 8\% | 137 | 35\% | 122 | 31\% | 86 | 22\% |

GEOMETRY (COMMON CORE)
GEOMETRY (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 217 | 3 | 1\% | 26 | 12\% | 127 | 59\% | 29 | 13\% | 32 | 15\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 214 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 208 | 3 | 1\% | 24 | 12\% | 120 | 58\% | 29 | 14\% | 32 | 15\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 9 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% | 7 | 78\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FEMALE | 108 | 2 | 2\% | 13 | 12\% | 64 | 59\% | 14 | 13\% | 15 | 14\% |
| MALE | 109 | 1 | 1\% | 13 | 12\% | 63 | 58\% | 15 | 14\% | 17 | 16\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 215 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 31 | 1 | 3\% | 5 | 16\% | 18 | 58\% | 3 | 10\% | 4 | 13\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 186 | 2 | 1\% | 21 | 11\% | 109 | 59\% | 26 | 14\% | 28 | 15\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 217 | 3 | 1\% | 26 | 12\% | 127 | 59\% | 29 | 13\% | 32 | 15\% |

## ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | LEVEL 4 |  | LEVEL 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 167 | 7 | 4\% | 11 | 7\% | 48 | 29\% | 64 | 38\% | 37 | 22\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 163 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 160 | 6 | 4\% | 11 | 7\% | 48 | 30\% | 59 | 37\% | 36 | 23\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 7 | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 71\% | 1 | 14\% |
| FEMALE | 88 | 4 | 5\% | 9 | 10\% | 30 | 34\% | 27 | 31\% | 18 | 20\% |
| MALE | 79 | 3 | 4\% | 2 | 3\% | 18 | 23\% | 37 | 47\% | 19 | 24\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 167 | 7 | 4\% | 11 | 7\% | 48 | 29\% | 64 | 38\% | 37 | 22\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 24 | 2 | 8\% | 2 | 8\% | 11 | 46\% | 6 | 25\% | 3 | 13\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 143 | 5 | 3\% | 9 | 6\% | 37 | 26\% | 58 | 41\% | 34 | 24\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 167 | 7 | 4\% | 11 | 7\% | 48 | 29\% | 64 | 38\% | 37 | 22\% |

GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY
REGENTS GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 356 | 327 | 92\% | 286 | 80\% | 132 | 37\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 308 | 298 | 97\% | 277 | 90\% | 131 | 43\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 48 | 29 | 60\% | 9 | 19\% | 1 | 2\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK ORAFRICAN AMERICAN | 10 | 8 | 80\% | 7 | 70\% | 4 | 40\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 334 | 307 | 92\% | 270 | 81\% | 123 | 37\% |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 12 | 12 | 100\% | 9 | 75\% | 5 | 42\% |
| FEMALE | 186 | 170 | 91\% | 150 | 81\% | 64 | 34\% |
| MALE | 170 | 157 | 92\% | 136 | 80\% | 68 | 40\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 353 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 89 | 73 | 82\% | 53 | 60\% | 10 | 11\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 267 | 254 | 95\% | 233 | 87\% | 122 | 46\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 356 | 327 | 92\% | 286 | 80\% | 132 | 37\% |

## U.S. HISTORY \& GOVERNMENT

REGENTS U.S. HISTORY \& GOVERNMENT

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 326 | 308 | 94\% | 281 | 86\% | 183 | 56\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 273 | 271 | 99\% | 263 | 96\% | 177 | 65\% |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 53 | 37 | 70\% | 18 | 34\% | 6 | 11\% |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 5 | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 3 | 60\% |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 7 | 6 | 86\% | 5 | 71\% | 2 | 29\% |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 6 | 5 | 83\% | 4 | 67\% | 4 | 67\% |
| WHITE | 308 | 292 | 95\% | 267 | 87\% | 174 | 56\% |
| FEMALE | 161 | 154 | 96\% | 138 | 86\% | 76 | 47\% |
| MALE | 165 | 154 | 93\% | 143 | 87\% | 107 | 65\% |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 325 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 84 | 74 | 88\% | 61 | 73\% | 25 | 30\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 242 | 234 | 97\% | 220 | 91\% | 158 | 65\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 326 | 308 | 94\% | 281 | 86\% | 183 | 56\% |


| GROUP | LIVII |  | $\sqrt{M}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGENTS LIVING ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| ALL STUDENTS | 338 | 323 | 96\% | 298 | 88\% | 140 | 41\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 272 | 268 | 99\% | 253 | 93\% | 127 | 47\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 66 | 55 | 83\% | 45 | 68\% | 13 | 20\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK ORAFRICANAMERICAN | 9 | 7 | 78\% | 6 | 67\% | 1 | 11\% |
| HISPANICORLATINO | 6 | 6 | 100\% | 5 | 83\% | 1 | 17\% |
| WHITE | 316 | 303 | 96\% | 282 | 89\% | 135 | 43\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALL GROUPTOTAL | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 5 | 71\% | 3 | 43\% |
| FEMALE | 153 | 150 | 98\% | 139 | 91\% | 62 | 41\% |
| MALE | 185 | 173 | 94\% | 159 | 86\% | 78 | 42\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 336 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 102 | 90 | 88\% | 79 | 77\% | 21 | 21\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 236 | 233 | 99\% | 219 | 93\% | 119 | 50\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 338 | 323 | 96\% | 298 | 88\% | 140 | 41\% |

## PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 292 | 272 | 93\% | 245 | 84\% | 127 | 43\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 276 | 260 | 94\% | 237 | 86\% | 127 | 46\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 8 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | 6 | 6 | 100\% | 4 | 67\% | 1 | 17\% |
| HISPANICORLATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 279 | 260 | 93\% | 236 | 85\% | 123 | 44\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 7 | 6 | 86\% | 5 | 71\% | 3 | 43\% |
| FEMALE | 150 | 138 | 92\% | 121 | 81\% | 56 | 37\% |
| MALE | 142 | 134 | 94\% | 124 | 87\% | 71 | 50\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 290 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 64 | 59 | 92\% | 46 | 72\% | 15 | 23\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 228 | 213 | 93\% | 199 | 87\% | 112 | 49\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 292 | 272 | 93\% | 245 | 84\% | 127 | 43\% |


| PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 214 | 206 | 96\% | 176 | 82\% | 82 | 38\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 209 | 201 | 96\% | 171 | 82\% | 81 | 39\% |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 5 | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 1 | 20\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 204 | 197 | 97\% | 168 | 82\% | 77 | 38\% |
| SMALL GROUP TOTAL | 10 | 9 | 90\% | 8 | 80\% | 5 | 50\% |
| FEMALE | 113 | 108 | 96\% | 88 | 78\% | 40 | 35\% |
| MALE | 101 | 98 | 97\% | 88 | 87\% | 42 | 42\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS | 214 | 206 | 96\% | 176 | 82\% | 82 | 38\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 27 | 26 | 96\% | 22 | 81\% | 7 | 26\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 187 | 180 | 96\% | 154 | 82\% | 75 | 40\% |
| NOTMIGRANT | 214 | 206 | 96\% | 176 | 82\% | 82 | 38\% |

## PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

| GROUP | TOTAL TE | 55 |  | 65 |  | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 119 | 119 | 100\% | 113 | 95\% | 56 | 47\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 119 | 119 | 100\% | 113 | 95\% | 56 | 47\% |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WHITE | 117 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 119 | 119 | 100\% | 113 | 95\% | 56 | 47\% |
| FEMALE | 56 | 56 | 100\% | 52 | 93\% | 22 | 39\% |
| MALE | 63 | 63 | 100\% | 61 | 97\% | 34 | 54\% |
| NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS | 119 | 119 | 100\% | 113 | 95\% | 56 | 47\% |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 6 | 6 | 100\% | 5 | 83\% | 2 | 33\% |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 113 | 113 | 100\% | 108 | 96\% | 54 | 48\% |
| NOT MIGRANT | 119 | 119 | 100\% | 113 | 95\% | 56 | 47\% |

REGENTS COMPETENCY TEST RESULTS (2015-16)

| GROUP | US HIST \& GOV'T |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 1 |  | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 |  | - |
| WHITE | 1 |  | - |
| SMALLGROUP TOTAL | 1 |  | - |
| FEMALE | 1 |  | - |
| NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS | 1 |  | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 1 |  | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 1 |  | - |

NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2015-16)

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | PROFICIENT | LEVE | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 | LEVEL 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 3 ELA | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 3MATH | 1 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 4 ELA | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| GRADE 4 MATH | 6 | 83\% | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| GRADE 4 SCIENCE | 6 | 83\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| GRADE 5 ELA | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 5 MATH | 2 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 6 ELA | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 6MATH | 3 | _\% | - | - | - | - |
| GRADE 7 ELA | 5 | 80\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| GRADE 7 MATH | 5 | 80\% | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| GRADE 8 ELA | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| GRADE 8 MATH | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| GRADE 8SCIENCE | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| SECONDARY-LEVELELA | 9 | 78\% | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH | 9 | 78\% | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| SECONDARY-LEVELSCIENCE | 9 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| SECONDARY-LEVELSOCIALSTUDIES | 9 | 89\% | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 |

NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2015-16)
KINDERGARTEN

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All.students | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Generaleducation | 3 |  |  |  | - |  |

GRADE 1

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AlLstudents | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| General education | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |

## GRADE 2

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |

GRADE 5

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## GRADE 6

| GROUP | TOTALTESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |

## GRADE 9

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED |  | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMANDING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |

GRADE 10

| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |


| GROUP | TOTAL TESTED | ENTERING | EMERGING | TRANSITIONING | EXPANDING | COMMANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

## ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADEAYP | TESTED 95\% | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI >= EAMO OR SAFE TESTED STUDENTS <br> HARBORTARGET ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY |  | PI | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | NO | NO | 3,838* | 73\%* | YES | 1,340 | 124 | 101 | 101 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | - | 36 | - | - | 22 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | YES | YES | 40 | 95\% | - | 28 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 28 | - | - | 24 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | NO | NO | 3,620* | 73\%* | YES | 1,256 | 124 | 116 | 116 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 10 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | NO | NO | 615* | 69\%* | NO | 196† | 46 + | 65 | 56 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 6 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | NO | 1,324* | 72\%* | NO | 425 | 84 | 88 | 86 |

## RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 3,838* | 73\%* | 1,340 | 124 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3,762* | 73\%* | 1,318 | 124 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 3,764* | 73\%* | 1,312 | 124 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC... | 3,785* | 73\%* | 1,316 | 123 |
| NOT WHITE | 218* | 83\%* | 84 | 114 |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 3,823* | 73\%* | 1,330 | 123 |
| GENERAL EDUCATION | 3,223* | 74\%* | 1,153 | 137 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 3,817* | 73\%* | 1,336 | 124 |
| NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 2,514* | 74\%* | 915 | 142 |
| MALE | 2,057* | 73\%* | 712 | 114 |
| FEMALE | 1,781* | 74\%* | 628 | 134 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 3,838* | 73\%* | $1,340$ | 124 |

[^0]ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| Group | MADE AYP | TESTED 95\% | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMIIISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI >=EAMO OR SAFE TESTED STUDENTS HARBORTARGET ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY |  | PI | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | NO | NO | 3,845* | 69\%* | YES | 1,306 | 141 | 98 | 98 |
| AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | - | - | 36 | - | - | 21 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | NO | NO | $73^{*}$ | 82\%* | YES | $45^{\circ}$ | 109 | 77 | 20 |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.. | - | - | 28 | - | - | 26 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | NO | NO | 3,628* | 68\%* | YES | 1,223 | 142 | 112 | 112 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 10 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | NO | NO | 614* | 62\%* | NO | 181 $\dagger$ | 62+ | 66 | 66 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 6 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | NO | 1,327* | 64\%* | YES | 392 | 102 | 85 | 85 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | TESTED STUDENTSENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 3,845* | 69\%* | 1,306 | 141 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3,769* | 69\%* | 1,285 | 142 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 3,772* | 68\%* | 1,280 | 141 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC... | 3,793* | 68\%* | 1,280 | 140 |
| NOTWHITE | 217* | 76\%* | 83 | 130 |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 3,829* | 68\%* | 1,296 | 141 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 3,231* | 70\%* | 1,134 | 153 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 3,824* | 68\%* | 1,300 | 141 |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 2,518* | 71\%* | 914 | 158 |
| MALE | 2,059* | 68\%* | 693 | 140 |
| FEMALE | 1,786* | 69\%* | 613 | 143 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 3,845* | 69\%* | 1,306 | 141 |

[^1]ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADE AYP | TESTED 80\% | STUDENTS ENROLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI>=EAMOOR PROGRESS TARGET | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | Pl | EAMO | PROGRESS TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | YES | YES | 661 | 85\% | YES | 545 | 188 | 182 | 182 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN | - | - | 8 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 14 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | YES | 628 | 85\% | YES | 519 | 188 | 189 | 184 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | NO | NO | 217* | 79\%* | YES | $69+$ | 151+ | 159 | 143 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | NO | 450* | 78\%* | YES | 161 | 173 | 172 | 161 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD | PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY | Pl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 661 | 85\% | 545 | 188 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 653 | 86\% | 541 | 188 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 647 | 85\% | 534 | 188 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC.. | 653 | 85\% | 537 | 188 |
| NOT WHITE | 33 | - | 26 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 658 | 85\% | 542 | 188 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 562 | 88\% | 477 | 194 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 661 | 85\% | 545 | 188 |
| NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED | 443 | 89\% | 384 | 195 |
| MALE | 348 | 84\% | 281 | 189 |
| FEMALE | 313 | 87\% | 264 | 187 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 661 | 85\% | 545 | 188 |

[^2]
## ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADE AYP | TESTED 95\% | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH P GRADERSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | Pl>=EAMO ORSAFE HARBORTARGET | 2012 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS | Pl | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR <br> TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | YES | YES | 304 | 100\% | YES | 304 | 179 | 168 | 168 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | - | 4 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | YES | 295 | 100\% | YES | 293 | 180 | 177 | 173 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | YES | - | 24 | - | YES | $31+$ | 97 † | 117 | 20 |
| LIMITED ENGLISHPROFICIENT | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | No | YES | 59 | 100\% | NO | 65 | 145 | 150 | 150 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERSWITH VALID TEST SCORES | 2012 ACCOUNTABLLITY COHORT MEMBERS | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 179 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 300 | 100\% | 299 | 180 |
| NOTHISPANIC ORLATINO | 302 | 100\% | 301 | 180 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC.. | 301 | 100\% | 301 | 179 |
| NOT WHITE | 9 | - | 11 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 179 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 280 | 100\% | 274 | 188 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 179 |
| NOTECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED | 245 | 100\% | 239 | 189 |
| MALE | 155 | 100\% | 157 | 171 |
| FEMALE | 149 | 100\% | 147 | 188 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 179 |

[^3]ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

| GROUP | MADE AYP | TESTED 95\% | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH PI GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | PI>=EAMO ORSAFE HARBORTARGET | 2012 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS | PI | EAMO | SAFE HARBOR TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | YES | YES | 304 | 100\% | YES | 304 | 161 | 153 | 153 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK ORAFRICANAMERICAN | - | - | 4 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | YES | 295 | 100\% | YES | 293 | 163 | 164 | 160 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES | YES | - | 24 | - | YES | $31+$ | $68+$ | 108 | 20 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | YES | 59 | 100\% | NO | 65 | 115 | 134 | 134 |

RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

| GROUP | 12TH GRADERS | PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES | 2012 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 161 |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 300 | 100\% | 299 | 162 |
| NOTHISPANIC OR LATINO | 302 | 100\% | 301 | 162 |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC.. | 301 | 100\% | 301 | 161 |
| NOTWHITE | 9 | - | 11 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 161 |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 280 | 100\% | 274 | 172 |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 161 |
| NOTECONOMICALIY DISADVANTAGED | 245 | 100\% | 239 | 174 |
| MALE | 155 | 100\% | 157 | 156 |
| FEMALE | 149 | 100\% | 147 | 167 |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 304 | 100\% | 304 | 161 |

[^4]UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

| GROUP | ELEMENTARY/ MIDDLE-LEVELELA PI | ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATH PI | SECONDARY-LEVELELA PI | SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH PI | UNWEIGHTED COMBINED PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLSTUDENTS | 124 | 141 | 179 | 161 | 151 |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| HISPANIC ORLATINO | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| WHITE | 124 | 142 | 180 | 163 | 152 |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | 46 | 62 | 97 | 68 | 68 |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 84 | 102 | 145 | 115 | 112 |

- There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

OVERALL GRADUATION RATE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

## ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

| GROUP | MADE AYP |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALLSTUDENTS | YES |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER | - |
| WHITE | YES |
| MULTIRACIAL | - |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES | YES |
| LIMITED ENGLISHPROFICIENT | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES |

- There were not enough students to make an AYP determination.

FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

| GROUP | MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION: | 2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT | GRADUATION RATE | STATE STANDARD | PROGRESS TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | YES | 339 | 88\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | 324 | 88\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | NO | $38+$ | 45\% $\dagger$ | 80\% | 62\% |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | YES | 103 | 79\% | 80\% | 70\% |

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.
NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

- There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.
$\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

| GROUP | MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION: | 2010 FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT | GRADUATION RATE | STATE STANDARD | PROGRESS TARGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL STUDENTS | YES | 348 | 88\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| AMERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | - | 12 | - | - | - |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC... | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| WHITE | YES | 326 | 89\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| MULTIRACIAL | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES | YES | 50† | 64\% $\dagger$ | 80\% | 53\% |
| LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | NO | 92 | 67\% | 80\% | 69\% |

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.
NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

- There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.
$\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

GRADUATION RATES FOR NON-AYP GROUPS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

| GROUP | FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT |  | FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTALCOHORT | GRADUATION RATE | 2010 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTALCOHORT | GRADUATION RATE |
| NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE | 339 | 88\% | 347 | 88\% |
| NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | 332 | 88\% | 336 | 88\% |
| NOTHISPANICORLATINO | 334 | 89\% | 346 | 89\% |
| NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAC. | 336 | 88\% | 341 | 88\% |
| NOT WHITE | 15 | - | 22 | - |
| NOTMULTIRACIAL | 339 | 88\% | 348 | 88\% |
| GENERALEDUCATION | 303 | 94\% | 300 | 92\% |
| ENGLISHPROFICIENT | 337 | 89\% | 345 | 88\% |
| NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED | 236 | 93\% | 256 | 96\% |
| MALE | 188 | 87\% | 175 | 89\% |
| FEMALE | 151 | 91\% | 173 | 87\% |
| MIGRANT | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| NOTMIGRANT | 339 | 88\% | 348 | 88\% |

- There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

Graduation Rates for Regents with Advanced Designation and CTE Endorsement for Accountability
Percentage of 2011 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort members who graduated as of August 31, 2015 with:
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Values have been equalized using the Uniform Percentage of Value. The Exempt amounts do not take into consideration, payments in lieu of taxes or other payments
Amount, if any, attributable to payments in lieu of taxes:


[^0]:     on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.
     rate criterion
    $\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

[^1]:     on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.
     another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.
    $\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .
     determine a Performance Index.

[^2]:     on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.
     another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.
    $\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

[^3]:     Harbor Target data are suppressed
    t Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

[^4]:     Harbor Target data are suppressed
    $\dagger$ Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30 .

